

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/766,482 | 01/29/2004 | Donald Robert Bosten | 54525.000096 | 3724 |
| 21967 7: | 590 10/29/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP | | | ROSE, ROBERT A | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 1200 | | | 3723 | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 | | | DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | • | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Commons | 10/766,482 | BOSTEN ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Robert Rose | 3723 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will. - See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDON. | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 | 9 January 2004. | | | | |
| | his action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | rection is required if the drawing(s) is ol | bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | · , | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | • | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a | , , , , | ad | | | |
| oco ino attached detailed Office action for a | not of the contined copies not receiv | . | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | v (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | Date | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date | (08) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| F | -, <u> </u> | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/766,482 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed January
 29, 2004.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7, 9-14, and 17-20, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6042460. This is a double patenting rejection. The above claims of the prior U.S. Patent('460) fully anticipate the subject matter of Applicant's claims 1-20.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith is cited of interest to show a reciprocating sander having a pair of parallel guide rods. Kincaid is cited to show a prior art in-line sander having an eccentric shaft delivering reciprocating motion to the abrasive member.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Rose whose telephone number is (703) 308-1360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 5:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached at (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rr

October 26, 2004.

Robert Rose Primary Examiner ArkUnit 3723